

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/626,080	07/26/2000	Do-Hyoung Kim	Q59998	8070
7590 08/24/2004			EXAMINER	
Sughrue Mion Zinn MacPeak & Seas			VANDERPUYE, KENNETH N	
Darryl Mexic				
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20037			2661	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Applies	ation No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Summary	09/626		KIM ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examir	ner	Art Unit			
			h N Vanderpuye	2661			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communor Reply	nication appears on t	the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address			
THE - External after of the control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provisions of sIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum soure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a restatutory minimum of third d will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AB	reply be timely filed  by (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on					
2a) <u></u>		2b)⊠ This action is	s non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the	application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)🛛	Claim(s) <u>2-7</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1, 8-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7)[	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or electior	n requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.			
,	Applicant may not request that any obje		•	•			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including			· ·			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	-		` ' · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority	ınder 35 II S.C. s	119(a)-(d) or (f)			
,	All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority		·	119(a)-(u) 01 (1).			
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have be	een received in A	pplication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies	• •		received in this National Stage			
* 9	application from the Internation  See the attached detailed Office action	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		received			
·	os the attached detailed office detic		ranea dopies not	received.			
Attachmer	• •		_1				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	TO 040'		Summary (PTO-413)			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Frmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or			s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date	, 0,00,00,	6)  Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/626,080

Art Unit: 2661

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C.

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 7, 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With regards to claims 7, 10-11, applicant is claiming a standard without indicating the year of the standard. These claims are indefinite because standards have different version for a particular year. The specification fails to indicate the year of the IEEE 1394 or the IEC 61883 standard.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

<sup>(</sup>e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

Application/Control Number: 09/626,080

Art Unit: 2661

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamazaki(6,205,145)

With regards to claim 1, Yamazaki teaches a method for managing the connection of a plurality of device which are point-to-point connected by a digital interface, the method comprising:

a second device(Fig. 4, B-ISDN/converter), which establishes a point to point connection between an arbitrary first device(ATM terminal) and another device(Fig. 4, the converter sets up point-to-point call), receiving a connection release request command requesting the second device to break the point to point connection from the first device(converter receives a release message from the ATM terminal); the second device breaking the point to point connection in response to the connection release request command (the converter initiates connection release by forwarding the REL and the REL COM commands), wherein the point-to-point connection is defined by an output plug of the first device, and input plug of the another device and a channel between the output plug and input plug(the plugs are

Application/Control Number: 09/626,080

Art Unit: 2661

considered interfaces and are present in both terminals in Fig. 4, and the point to point connect is between these two terminals via their interfaces)

Claim 8 is rejected because the first device sends said connection release request command to the second device(atm terminal sends REL command to converter)

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki(6,205,145) in view of Goto et al.(6,564,266)

With regards to claim 9 Yamazaki fails to teach said other device sending a connection release request command to the second device. However in Yamazaki the ATM sends this request. Goto teaches this capability in Fig. 9. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Yamazaki with Goto for the purpose of achieving connection release from either terminal. The motivation being that with the connection release capability in the other terminal, both terminals could end the

connection should the need arise. For example the other terminal may be in a state of congestion hence by releasing the connection the AM terminal could cease sending data thus saving bandwidth.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-7 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth N Vanderpuye whose telephone number is 703-308-7828. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(7:30-5:00) Second Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Olms can be reached on 703-305-4703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

KENNÉTH VANDERPUYE PRIMARY EXAMINER

KNV 8/22/04